Comparative autohydrolysis study of two mixtures of forest and marginal land resources for co-production of biofuels and value-added compounds
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Abstract
This work was focused on evaluating two mixtures of lignocellulosic feedstock, forest and marginal land resources, in order to co-produce solid biofuel, oligosaccharides, and glucose under a biorefinery concept. The selection of renewable bio-mixtures was based on different criteria, namely, territorial distribution, fire risk during summer months and total sugar content. The two mixtures were submitted to autohydrolysis pretreatment under non-isothermal conditions (in the range of 190 °C - 240 °C corresponding to severity of 3.71 to 4.82). Both mixtures were compared in terms of fractionation (cellulose and lignin recoveries and hemicellulose solubilization), analyzed for thermal properties (high heating values) and for enzymatic susceptibility of cellulose. The highest xylan recoveries (62 and 69%), as xylose and xylooligosaccharides, were achieved for both mixtures in the liquid phase at 206 °C. Autohydrolysis pretreatment increased the high heating values of the two mixtures presenting an alternative use of solid fraction as solid biofuel. Moreover, enzymatic susceptibility of these pretreated mixtures was also improved from 45 to 90% of glucose yield by increasing pretreatment severity. This comparative study of autohydrolysis showed a suitable process for the valorization of both mixtures within a biorefinery concept.

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction
In Portugal, the territory is divided in wood and uncultivated land (22%); forest of pure and mixed stands of Pinus pinaster and Eucalyptus globulus (21%); and farm land (33%), mainly composed by olive groves, vineyards and orchards, that generate significant amount of lignocellulosic biomass (LCB) [1]. Approximately half of the national territory (40%–50%) consists of poor soils with no potential for profitable agricultural use. On average, 60%–70% of the total fires take place in forested and uncultivated areas, resulting in a loss of roughly 800 million Euros annually [2]. So far, there is no sustainable alternative for the use of this territory and no viable solution for forest biomass valorization [2]. Social and economic benefits could be achieved from the utilization of these raw materials in order to develop the so-called bioeconomy, which would boost the creation of new rural jobs [3].

Forest and agricultural residues are the most important sources of lignocellulosic biomass [4]. LCB are the most abundant renewable resource in the world, generated at high rate [5] and suitable for production of energy, biofuels, chemicals, paper, pharmaceuticals and biomaterials [3,6]. LCB are composed by 50%–60% carbohydrates, namely, cellulose and hemicellulose and 10% to 30% of lignin, together with non-structural components (including ashes, extractives, pectin and proteins) in lower proportions [7–9]. Nevertheless, the conversion of LCB into chemicals is one of the main challenges for biomass processing due to their complex three-dimensional structure, requiring multidisciplinary approaches to achieve their integrated benefit [5].

The chemical utilization of LCB can be carried out using two different approaches: (i) utilization as a whole (for example combustion, gasification or pyrolysis), or (ii) using methods based on the selective separation of its components (cellulose,
hemicellulose, lignin) [3]. The latter process can be based on multistep processing, starting with separation of easily recovered fractions (extractives and hemicellulose) from the more resistant ones (cellulose and lignin), which could be further fractioned by means of more aggressive treatments [5]. Hydrothermal pretreatment has been successfully applied to LCB. This eco-friendly process, also known as autohydrolysis, requires no other reagents than water and high temperature, which enables a wide variety of reactions without the need of a catalyst [10,11]. Autohydrolysis provides several advantages, such as: i) high hemicellulose recoveries; ii) no catalyst is necessary; iii) no equipment corrosion problems are expected; iv) stages of sludge handling and acid recycling are avoided; v) enzymatic susceptibility of cellulose is improved [9]. The autohydrolysis reaction solubilizes selectively hemicellulose into oligosaccharides and promotes lower liberation of compounds derived from lignin and cellulose, as well as hemicellulose degradation products [12]. The main compounds found in the remaining solid fraction are cellulose and sulfur-free lignin. Cellulose can be subjected to enzymatic hydrolysis to produce glucose, an important input for biofuels [13] [12].

The use of feedstock mixtures rather than a single raw material can minimize the problems related to biomass availability, seasonality, price volatility and storage. In this work, broom (Cytisus sp.), carqueja (Genista tridentata), mimosa (Acacia dealbata), rockrose (Cistus ladanifer), eucalyptus (Eucalyptus globulus) and pine (Pinus pinaster) were identified as the most important sources of forest fire cases in Portugal. Since the security supply for bio-refineries and the sustainability of exploration are key factors to ensure the industrialization of these systems, the aim of this study was to evaluate feedstock mixtures fractionation to supply a bio-refinery throughout the year to produce bioenergy and value-added compounds. Two feedstock mixtures were selected and subjected to autohydrolysis treatment in the range of 190 °C–240 °C, in order to evaluate and compare the pretreatment effect on fractionation of feedstock mixtures by hemicellulose solubilization. Besides oligosaccharides, two other alternatives were evaluated for valorization of pretreated feedstock mixtures: solid biofuel production and enzymatic saccharification of cellulose into glucose.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Raw materials and criteria of feedstocks mixture

Lignocellulosic biomass collecting through the year was divided into four quarters, considering the biomass from 1st and 4th quarters (winter months) as mixture 1–4 (M1–4) and from 2nd and 3rd quarters (summer months) as mixture 2–3 (M2–3). The M1–4 and M2–3 were set with different lignocellulosic biomasses from forest ecosystems (A) (namely, eucalyptus and pine) and from marginal land (B) (namely, broom, carqueja, mimosa and rockrose). The criteria for the formulation of (A) and (B) were taking into account their proportion of territorial occupation, based on the National Portuguese Forest Inventory [14]. These percentages were tested, reaching maximum temperatures (TMAX) of 190, 196, 206, 216, 226 and 240 °C (pressure from 13 to 34 bar). The autohydrolysis experiments, the reaction media was stirred at 150 rpm and heated by an external jacket, following the standard heating temperature-time profile to reach the desired maximum temperature, and the reactor was rapidly cooled-down through water recirculation by an internal loop (Fig. 2).

For each mixture, several non-isothermal conditions were tested, reaching final temperatures (TMAX) of 190, 196, 206, 216, 226 and 240 °C (pressure from 13 to 34 bar). The autohydrolysis experiments were carried out by duplicate. Once the target temperature was reached, the media were immediately cooled and filtered.

The intensity of autohydrolysis pretreatments can be expressed in terms of “severity” (S0) defined as the logarithm of R0 [16], which was calculated using the expression, Equation (1): Fig. 1- Flow chart of whole process and analytical methods used in this work.
\[
S_0 = \log R_0 = \log (R_0 \text{HEATING} + R_0 \text{COOLING}) = \log \left[ \int_0^{t_{\text{MAX}}} \exp \left( \frac{T(t) - T_{\text{REF}}}{\omega} \right) \, dt \right] + \log \left[ \int_0^{t_{\text{F}}} \exp \left( \frac{T'(t) - T_{\text{REF}}}{\omega} \right) \, dt \right]
\]  

(1)

According to this equation, \(S_0\) includes the combined effects of temperature and reaction time along the periods of heating and cooling. In Eq. (1), \(t_{\text{MAX}}\) (min) is the time needed to achieve \(T_{\text{MAX}}\) (K), \(t_{\text{F}}\) (min) is the time needed for the whole heating-cooling period, whereas \(T(t)\) and \(T'(t)\) represent the temperature profiles in heating and cooling (Fig. 2), respectively. Calculations were made assuming the values reported in literature for \(\omega\) and \(T_{\text{REF}}\) (14.75 K and 373.15 K, respectively). The range of studied temperatures was 190°C to 240°C corresponding to severities \((S_0)\) of 3.71 and 4.82, respectively.

Operational conditions were evaluated to maximize the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type Lignocellulosic resources</th>
<th>Mixtures proportion (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A) forest ecosystems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine (Pinus pinaster)</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eucalyptus (Eucalyptus globulus)</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>35.4</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B) biological resources from marginal land</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>broom (Cytisus sp.)</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mimosa (Acacia dealbata)</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carqueja (Genista tridentata)</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rockrose (Cistus ladanifer)</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>64.6</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
concentration of hemicellulose derived compounds in liquid fraction and to improve enzymatic susceptibility of the glucan present in solid fraction.

The solid fraction was washed with distilled water and used to measure the solid yield of the autohydrolysis stage (SY, kg autohydrolyzed mixture/100 kg raw material, oven-dry basis) and analyzed for chemical composition as described in Section 2.2. An aliquot of autohydrolysis liquid phase was filtered through 0.2 μm membranes and used for direct HPLC determination of glucose, xylose, arabinose, acetic acid, hydroxymethyl (HMF) and furfural (F), using the same method specified above. A second aliquot was subjected to quantitative acid posthydrolysis (4% w/w sulphuric acid at 121 °C for 20 min), filtered through 0.2 μm membranes and analyzed in HPLC for oligosaccharides quantification.

2.4. Enzymatic hydrolysis of solid fraction from autohydrolysis pretreatment

Enzymatic hydrolysis (EH) of autohydrolyzed mixtures were carried out at 50 °C and pH 4.85 (0.05 N sodium citrate buffer) in 100 mL Erlenmeyer flasks with 50 mL of volume in orbital agitation (150 rpm) using Cellic Ctec2 (Novozymes, Bagsvaerd, Denmark). The enzyme activity was 120 FPU/mL. (measured as described by Ghose [17]). The conditions employed were 5% of oven-dry autohydrolyzed mixtures, enzyme to substrate ratio, denoted as ESR = 20 FPU/g autohydrolyzed mixture on dry basis. The reaction time of enzymatic hydrolysis ranged from 0 h to 72 h. At selected times, samples were withdrawn from the media, centrifuged, filtered and analyzed by HPLC for glucose and cellobiose. The results achieved in the EH were expressed in terms of glucose yield (YG) (%), calculated using the following Equation (2):

$$% \text{YG} = \frac{[\text{Glucose}] + 1.053 \times [\text{Cellobiose}]}{1.111 \times \text{Biomass}} \times 100$$

where [Glucose] is the glucose concentration (g/L), [Cellobiose] is the cellobiose concentration (g/L), Biomass is the dry biomass (g/L), f is cellulose fraction in dry biomass (g/g), the multiplication factor, 1.053, converts cellobiose to equivalent glucose. In all experiments, cellobiose was not detected.

2.5. Determination of higher heating values of autohydrolyzed mixture

Samples of dried biomass were analyzed for Higher Heating Values (HHVs) using an automatic adiabatic bomb calorimeter (Parr calorimeter Type 6200), in accordance with Jessup et al. [18]. The interior surface of the bomb was washed with distilled water and collected in a beaker. The bomb washings were titrated with a standard sodium carbonate solution (0.0709 M).

2.6. Statistical analysis

Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) was computed using STATGRAPHICS Centurion XVI.I, with a significance level of 5%. The sources of variation for the raw material composition were cellulose (as glucan), xylan, arabinan, acetyl groups, mannan and galactan (as hemicellulose), Klasen lignin, ashes and extractives for M1-4 and M2-3.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Raw material mixture criteria

Several lignocellulosic biomasses have been individually studied to be used as raw material for biorefineries, nonetheless, the availability, seasonality, variability, price volatility and storage of biomass supply may be the major constraints on the use of these raw materials [12,19]. In this work, the use of different biomass sources may overcome these problems. Thus, the analysis of availability, security supply and seasonality (based on fire prevention) of lignocellulosic biomasses in Portugal were considered for the mixture definition.

The total territorial area generating residues [14] within the work focus corresponds to 52.5% of forest ecosystems (A) and 47.5% of biological resources from marginal land (B). Since eucalyptus and pine are the main ecosystems in Portugal that generate residues with high potential for the biorefineries, these two species were selected to represent (A) [20]. Whereas their distribution is according to territorial area [14], where 725 thousands (46.9%) of hectares correspond to pine and 820 thousands (53.2%) of hectares to eucalyptus. Regarding (B), the selection criteria was based on total sugars content of broom (66.7%), carqueja (51.7%), mimosa (60.4%) and rockrose (50.2%) [1]. Based on the different criteria, two different mixtures, M1-4 and M2-3, were established taking into account the higher risk in Portugal during the summer months (2nd and 3rd quarters) and the importance of collecting biological resources from marginal land during winter months (1st and 4th quarters). In general, 60–70% of forest fires occurs in woods and uncultivated areas [1]. Therefore, Table 1 shows the final proportions of biomasses assembled for M1-4 and M2-3.

The consideration of feedstock mixtures have been previously studied only in few works [12,21,22]. In some of these cases, the criteria of mixture were based on the importance of these raw materials for the region, such as mixture of eucalyptus, wheat straw and olive tree pruning in Southern Europe, prepared in different portions of biomasses assembled for M1-4 and M2-3.

The chemical characterization (Table 2) of the two mixtures proposed was carried out revealing a very similar composition, although the content of each fraction slightly varied according to the contribution of the predominant feedstock (described in Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Feedstock mixtures</th>
<th>M1-4</th>
<th>M2-3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cellulose (as Glucan)</td>
<td>34.17 ± 1.14</td>
<td>34.63 ± 0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemicellulose</td>
<td>16.58 ± 0.62</td>
<td>17.48 ± 0.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylan</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.21</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabinan</td>
<td>2.13 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.95 ± 0.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetyl groups</td>
<td>3.19 ± 0.03</td>
<td>3.31 ± 0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannan</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.26 ± 0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galactan</td>
<td>30.05 ± 0.00</td>
<td>31.76 ± 0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klasen lignin</td>
<td>1.29 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.19 ± 0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>10.51 ± 0.10</td>
<td>9.23 ± 0.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extractives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Glucan was the polysaccharide found in higher amount and similar concentrations were found in both mixtures. Among the hemicellulose components, the xylan was found in the highest amount in the two mixtures, reaching 16.58 g/100 g raw material of M1-4 and 17.48 g/100 g raw material of M2-3.

Arabinan and acetyl groups were identified, although in lower proportions in both mixtures, approximately 2 g/100 g raw material. Mannan showed concentration around 3 g/100 g raw material for both mixtures and galactan was detected in low concentrations approximately 1 g/100 g raw material. Klasson lignin was the second highest fraction in the mixtures, namely 30% for M1-4 and 32% for M2-3. Ashes were quantified and correspond to about 1% for both mixtures. Extractives correspond approximately to 10% in both mixtures. As seen in Table 2, there were no significant differences regarding chemical composition between M1-4 and M2-3 (p-value > 0.05).

Nevertheless, the study of mixture of different species is still scarce. Previous reports already studied the species that compose M1-4 and M2-3 individually, namely, Acacia dealbata [24], Cytisus sp. [25]. Pinus pinaster [5] and Eucalyptus globulus [26]. In these studies, the cellulose content (as glucan) was higher than 40%, while M1-4 and M2-3 presented lower content of cellulose, around 35%. This fact can be explained due to extractives content, as the mixtures comprised branches and twigs with bark and leaves [27], since the aim of this work was the integral valorization of these lignocellulosic resources.

Despite the similar composition of M1-4 and M2-3, the outcome of pretreatment could be different due to their diverse origin (hardwood, softwood and bush), making it necessary to analyze the pretreatment effect on both mixtures.

3.3. Effect of autohydrolysis pretreatment on fractionation of M1-4 and M2-3 mixtures

The conditions of pretreatment (190 °C to 240 °C) were chosen based on reported data by Romani et al. [9] and Silva-Fernandes et al. [12]. For integral valorization of biomass, all fractions should be considered [3]. Thus, in this work, fractionation of two mixtures was evaluated in order to recover the hemicellulose as oligosaccharides and to improve the enzymatic saccharification of cellulose and/or use the solid fraction as solid biofuel.

3.3.1. Solid phase composition after autohydrolysis pretreatment

Chemical composition of solid phase after autohydrolysis pretreatment is shown in Table 3. The solid yield (SY) decreased with severity increase and varied from 62.37 to 75.25 g/100 g raw material for M1-4 and 62.33–76.70 g/100 g raw material for M2-3, which is in agreement with previous works under similar conditions for other hardwoods [11,26].

The glucan content varied in the range of 40.96–47.00 g glucan/100 g autohydrolyzed mixture (on dry basis) for pretreated M1-4 and 41.07–48.47 g glucan/100 g autohydrolyzed mixture (on dry basis) for pretreated M2-3. Thus, the percentage of glucan that remained in the solid fraction was very similar after pretreatment for both mixtures, presenting an average of glucan recovery about 84.86% and 88.68% (expressed as g of glucan per 100 g of autohydrolyzed mixture) for M1-4 and M2-3, respectively, which reveals the selectivity of this pretreatment.

In addition, the content of lignin after pretreatment varied in the range of 35.76–47.82 and 37.04–44.56 g lignin/100 g autohydrolyzed mixture of M1-4 and M2-3, respectively. The average recovery was high for the two mixtures, 96.36% and 90.36% (expressed as g of lignin per 100 g of autohydrolyzed mixture) for M1-4 and M2-3, respectively.

However, lignin content followed a typical pattern for both
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mixtures, up to a temperature of 206 °C the remaining lignin in the solid fraction increased, from this temperature forward, lignin content decreased and it increased again under the most severe condition (240 °C). This behavior was also studied by Moniz et al. with autohydrolyzed rice straw where until 210 °C the remaining lignin was close to 100% of the initial amount, from 210 °C onwards showed a decreased around 30% and it increased again for the most severe conditions [28]. The lignin increase is common of autohydrolysis pretreatment due to condensation reactions between lignin, sugars and degradation products (HMF and F) leading to the formation of insoluble compounds that are quantified as Klason lignin [9,29].

Under most severe conditions (S0 = 4.15) glucan and lignin represent more than 84% of the solid fraction, and the combined amounts of these fractions matched the one contained in the raw material. These results are comparable with the results obtained by Silva-Fernandes et al. in which at the same conditions glucan and lignin contain 85% of the solid fraction [12].

The hemicellulose in the pretreated mixtures, namely, xylan showed a steadily decrease with the severity of pretreatment and it was the most solubilized fraction, since it was totally solubilized for both mixtures at temperatures higher than 226 °C. The same was reported by Silva-Fernandes et al. in which under most severe conditions 93–95% of xylan was solubilized in liquid phase [12]. Patel et al. studied a different pretreatment (dilute acid pretreatment) to solubilize the hemicellulose fraction in which revealed that almost, all the hemicellulose content was hydrolyzed, obtained only 0.4% in the solid fraction. However, this pretreatment is not eco-friendly, since it requires an additional detoxification step, increasing the process cost [13].

The data described above indicate that autohydrolysis pretreatment is an appropriate process for the selective fractionation of both mixtures in which showed high hemicellulose solubilization, directly proportional to autohydrolysis severity, while cellulose and lignin were usually retained in the solid fraction.

3.3.2. Composition of liquid phase resulting from autohydrolysis pretreatment

Autohydrolysis process allows substantial fractionation of components, namely oligosaccharides, monosaccharides, acetyl groups from hemicellulose, and degradation products of released sugars as furfural (F) and hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF).

The liquid phase composition of the two mixtures (M1-4 and M2-3) is presented in Table 3, in which the products recovered were represented in three groups: oligosaccharides (OS), including glucoooligosaccharides (GOS), xyloooligosaccharides (XOS), arabinoooligosaccharides (ArOS) and acetyl groups (AcGOS); monosaccharides, as well as glucose, xylose and arabinose; and other by-products such as organic acids (acetic acid) and furans (HMF and F).

Based on the previous reports [9], the concentrations (in g/L) of the liquid phase derived principally from hemicellulose fractions. Hence, the main compounds were XOS and xylose. The maximal XOS concentrations (10.8 g/L and 14.3 g/L) were obtained at 190 °C and 206 °C for M1-4 and M2-3, respectively, representing 47.7% and 58.1% of the total compounds presented in the liquid phase. Therefore, at these conditions of autohydrolysis pretreatment, 47.4% and 59.8% of xylan solubilization was recovered as XOS for M1-4 and M2-3, respectively. These results can be compared with reported data in literature using single biomass as fast-growing Paulownia hardwood in which 60% of the identified compounds in the liquid phase were XOS, achieved at S0 of 3.99 [11], and using a mixture of biomasses (eucalyptus, wheat straw and olive pruning) in which 63–68% of xylan was recovered as xyloooligosaccharides [12]. In addition, higher xylose concentration was obtained from the hydrothermal treatment of mixture of lignocellulosic materials (Bermuda grass, Jasmine hedges and Date palm fronds) at 200 °C than individual treatment of lignocellulosic biomasses (Bermuda grass or Date palm fronds) [13]. Nevertheless, direct comparison with the literature is not straightforward since composition and nature of biomass sources are not same.

At more severe conditions S0 > 4.15 the concentration of XOS decreased until reached 0.33 g/L and 0.49 g/L for M1-4 and M2-3, respectively. XOS started to degrade into xylose, in which M1-4 achieved 7.9 g/L of maximal xylene concentration at 226 °C (S0 = 4.60) and M2-3 obtained 3.5 g/L at S0 = 4.38.

The highest xylan solubilization as a sum of xylose and XOS (62.2% and 68.6% for M1-4 and M2-3, respectively) was obtained at TMAX = 206 °C for both mixtures. This result is consistent with Romani et al. [9] in which, at mild conditions (TMAX = 210 °C) 76% of xylan can be recovered as xylose and XOS.

Consequently, the highest furfural concentration was 2.5 g/L for M1-4 and 2.9 g/L at S0 = 4.16. The highest HMF concentration was also found at the same severity, in which 11.1 g/L was obtained for both mixtures. Acetic acid raised the maximum at S0 > 3.94 of 4.7 g/L and 4.9 g/L for M1-4 and M2-3, respectively. The harsher conditions of pretreatment led to an increase of inhibitor compounds, as F, HMF, and acetic acid. The concentration of F is higher than HMF, because the first is attributed to the degradation of xylose while...
HMF is obtained through C6 degradation, namely glucose. As mentioned before, glucose was also present in low amounts varying between 0.6 and 3.1 g/L for M1-4 and 0.6–1.2 g/L for M2-3, which represented on average 3.6% of glucan solubilization for M1-4 and 1.7% for M2-3. The results obtained in this work are in agreement with reported data using *Paulownia tomentosa* wood in which <4% of glucan was recovered in liquid phase [11]. As seen in Table 3, M1-4 and M2-3 showed differences in XOS and xylose concentration. This fact was probably influenced by the intrinsic features of lignocellulosic biomass, since M1-4 is composed by a higher percentage of residues from bush (as broom, mimosa, carqueja and rockrose) than M2-3. Besides oligosaccharides, sugar degradation products, acetic acid, extractives and phenolic compounds are also solubilized to liquid phase (or autohydrolysis liquor) during hydrothermal treatment [30]. The presence of these non-saccharide compounds in the hydrolysate decreased the purity of xylooligosaccharides which should be removed by physical and/or chemical means [31].

The difference observed between the two lignocellulosic mixtures could be related to a higher percentage of extractives in M1-4 than M2-3. In fact, the solubilized fraction (calculated as 100−SY) during the autohydrolysis treatment was higher in M1-4 than M2-3 (Table 3) showing differences in their fractionation. The main fractions recovered in the liquid phase, xylose and XOS, can be used for value-added compounds production as xylitol, lactic acid and ethanol obtained by fermentation and/or directly as prebiotic [32–34].

As previously reported, at $T_{\text{MAX}} = 206^\circ\text{C}$, high percentages of hemicellulose were removed but also primary degradation products (F and HMF) were kept at relatively low levels, which could be achieved by applying pretreatment conditions of moderate severity. Although pretreatment improves enzymatic access to cellulose for further fermentation, it generates byproducts decomposition which may affect negatively fermentation [35].

### 3.4. Energy production of pretreated M1-4 and M2-3 mixtures

HHVs of pretreated mixtures were analyzed in order to evaluate the influence of pretreatment and compare their behavior as solid fuel. These results are displayed in Fig. 3. The untreated mixtures were analyzed and showed HHVs of 17.23 MJ/kg and 17.26 MJ/kg for M1-4 and M2-3, respectively. HHV of pretreated samples increased with severity, achieving maximal values of 20.4 MJ/kg and 20.5 MJ/kg for M1-4 and M2-3, respectively. The results obtained in this work are in agreement with reported data using softwood chips in which the HHV of the original wood was 17.9 MJ/kg and with temperature increase (autohydrolysis pretreatment) reached 20.5 MJ/kg [36]. Leaching processes with water and acetic acid were also used to increase the HHVs of six different biomasses (fast growing timber species and oil pal biomass), achieving values in the range of 16.52–18.47 MJ/kg [37].

This behavior is related to the increase of lignin content in the samples (Table 3) as a consequence of temperature rise, since lignin presents higher caloric value (20.4 MJ/kg) than cellulose (16.5 MJ/kg) and hemicellulose (13.9 MJ/kg) [36,38]. The HHVs are higher for raw materials as hardwoods and softwoods than for non-wood biomass being linearly related with lignin content [39]. The HHV obtained in this work showed suitability of these mixtures as solid biofuels when compared with other biomasses.
Nevertheless the use of these mixtures as solid biofuel for energy content in combustion process or the alternative use as glucose source, for liquid biofuels production should be carefully analyzed and evaluated, in order to the overall net benefit [36].

3.5. Enzymatic saccharification of pretreated M1-4 and M2-3 mixtures

Two mixtures of pretreated lignocellulosic biomass by autohydrolysis were also suitable for glucose production, the main carbon
source to produce several industrial products (as bioethanol and chemicals). Thus, cellulotic fraction can be saccharified for sugar production using enzymes. Autohydrolysis pretreatment improves the enzymatic saccharification due to the structural alteration, as result of hemicellulotic fraction solubilization. In this sense, the solid fraction obtained from autohydrolysis was used as substrate in the assays of enzymatic hydrolysis in order to evaluate the susceptibility of pretreated biomass for glucose production. Time course of glucose yield for the two mixtures in the selected autohydrolysis conditions studied in this work (S0: 3.71–4.82) is displayed in Fig. 4 (a) and (b). As seen in Fig. 4, kinetics of enzymatic hydrolysis followed a typical pattern. Therefore, values of glucose yield obtained from enzymatic hydrolysis in this set of experiments were fitted to the Holtzapple empirical equation (3) [41]:

$$ Y_{Gl} = Y_{GMAX} \times \frac{t}{t + t_{1/2}} $$

where \( Y_{Gt} \) is the glucose yield at time \( t \), \( Y_{GMAX} \) is the maximum glucose yield achievable at infinite reaction time, and \( t_{1/2} \) measures the reaction time needed to reach 50% of glucose yield.

The representation of calculated and experimental data (Fig. 4) and the values of \( R^2 \) (Table 4) showed the goodness of adjustment to the empirical model. These results showed that the severity of pretreatment increased the glucose yield and reduced the time of hydrolysis. The reaction time needed to reach 50% of glucose yield (\( t_{1/2} \)) was lower for M1-4 than for M2-3, in all the cases, except for the lowest (190 °C) and highest (240 °C) \( T_{MAX} \). As evident in Fig. 4, the harshness of pretreatment had a positive effect on the susceptibility of pretreated biomass to enzymatic hydrolysis. Glucose yield increased from 45.30% to 89.94% and from 42.46% to 81.78%, for M1-4 and M2-3, respectively, at 72 h of enzymatic hydrolysis (Table 4). Considering only autohydrolyzed \( Eucalyptus globulus \) wood, Romani et al. [42] reported a glucose yield of 100% at \( T_{MAX} > 210 \) °C.

There was a greater difference of glucose yield at \( T_{MAX} 196 \) °C between M1-4 (45.09%) and M2-3 (73.70%) at 72 h. On the other hand, M1-4 reached glucose yield higher than M2-3 at \( T_{MAX} > 226 \) °C.

An increase in the autohydrolysis severity (S0) from 3.71 to 4.82 allowed glucose concentration to increase from 10 g/L to 21 g/L, approximately, at 72 h of hydrolysis for the two mixtures (Table 4). The similar behavior was reported by Dominguez et al. [11], using \( Pauwlowia tomentosa \) as biomass, where an increase in the autohydrolysis severity from S0 3.1 to 4.82 allowed a five-fold increment in glucose concentration to 27.5 g/L at 120 h.

In general, enzymatic hydrolysis is an efficient process without generation of any toxic waste and does not contain fermentation inhibitors, which reveals a promising strategy to obtain higher glucose yield [43].

3.6. Overall balance of M1-4 and M2-3

Considering the results obtained in this study, Fig. 5 compares the fractionation effect of autohydrolysis pretreatment on the two feedstock mixtures. The highest hemicellulosic solubilization (as XOS and xylose) was observed at \( T_{MAX} = 206 \) °C, as glucose yield of enzymatic hydrolysis higher than 60% for both mixtures (Table 4). As seen in Fig. 5, the value-added compound obtained in separated streams was of 19.1 kg of glucose for M1-4 and 24.1 kg of glucose for M2-3. Overall yield of glucose for M1-4 and M2-3 was 50% and 63%, respectively. These results can be compared with reported data in literature using the same pretreatment, in which 76% and 63% of glucose yield at \( S_0 = 4.13 \) were achieved from brewers’ spent grain and corn husk, respectively [44]. At the same condition, overall yield of xylose was 62% and 69% for M1-4 and M2-3, respectively. The results obtained for xylose yield can be favorably compared with data reported by Nitos et al., that obtained around 60% yield (S0 3.8–4.01) for poplar and grapevine, respectively [19]. The data described above indicate that autohydrolysis at 206 °C is an appropriate process for the selective fractionation of mixtures obtaining a solid fraction composed mainly by glucan and lignin, and high solubilization of hemicellulose into the liquid phase with minimum formation of degradation products. Cellulose was subjected to enzymatic hydrolysis and could be further processed for biological conversion into biofuels, biochemical or biomaterials as single or in combination with sugars obtained from liquid phase. The remaining lignin can simply be used for co-generation of energy in a biorefinery context or exploited for other high value applications.

4. Conclusions

This work provides a comparative study of two biomass mixtures in order to supply a lignocellulosic biorefinery throughout the year, showing a suitable solution for the utilization and valorization of forest and marginal land resources in Portugal. Autohydrolysis was used for the fractionation of these biomass mixtures, showing differences on hemicellulose solubilization and enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose into glucose. Nevertheless, under selected conditions, maximal hemicellulose recovery as xylooligosaccharides and xylose was achieved for two mixtures, allowing to operate at same conditions independently of selected biomass mixture. The proposed multi-supply raw materials biorefinery increases the sustainability of the value chain, in terms of the biomass (not pressure in same renewable resources) and avoids forest fires. In addition, an environmentally-friendly pretreatment is used for the fractionation of multi supply biomass in order to coproduce solid biofuels, oligosaccharides and glucose which may be further converted to liquid biofuels or to platform chemicals.
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